

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/653,966	09/01/2000	Daniel R. Salmonsen	003551.P015	5668
7	590 03/24/2005	EXAMINER		
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Boulevard			SIMITOSKI, MICHAEL J	
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			2134	

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Non-Compliant

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/653,966	SALMONSEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael J Simitoski	2134		

Notice of Non-Compliant	09/000,900	SALMONSENE	. I AL.
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J Simitoski	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress
The amendment document filed on is considered 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to			
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include B. New paragraph(s) should not be under C. Other	markings.	3E NON-COMPLI	ANT:
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 B. Other 	CFR 1.72.		
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identifie "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C B. The practice of submitting proposed dr showing amended figures, without man C. Other 	FR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elimin	ated. Replaceme	ent drawings
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include the ✓ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. No number by using one of the following sometimes (Previously presented), (New), (Not end of the claims of this amendment paper heads are continuation Sheet. 	the text of all pending claims (incluing the proper status identifier, and the tree status of every claim mustatus identifiers: (Original), (Currottered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the indiv at be indicated afte ently amended), (awn-currently ame	idual status er its claim Canceled), ended).

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf .

TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

- 1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
- 2. Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.

Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in:

Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quavle action; or

Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-324 (11-04)

Part of Paper No. 03162005

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The response of 10/27/2004 does not include an explanation of why newly added claims are patentable over the art. Further, claim 1 is labeled as "original", but appears to have been amended and therefore the status of the claim is undetermined.

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT
TECHNOLOGY CENT